



Student Body Senate Minutes

Wednesday, March 11, 2020 at 7:00pm

Student Union, Case Study 2

- I. **Call to Order** of the Student Body Senate of the Student Government Association of Oklahoma State University
- II. **Roll Call**
 - a. Swearing in of New Senators, Supreme Court Justices, and Executives:
“I, *state your name*, do solemnly affirm that I will discharge to the best of my ability, the responsibilities entrusted to me, as an officer of the Student Government Association of Oklahoma State University”
- III. **Pledge of Allegiance**
- IV. **Approval of Minutes** of the Previous Meeting
 - a. March 4, 2020
 - i. Approved
- V. **Guest Speakers and Student Concerns**
- VI. **Advisor(s) Report** – Dr. John Mark Day/Melisa Echols
 - a. **Melisa Echols**
 - i. ODB Night tomorrow night, we encourage students and faculty to participate.
 - ii. I encourage all of you to have a safe and smart spring break.
 - iii. Election results
 1. Final results will be posted on social media and given to the O’Colly.
- VII. **President’s Report**- Kaitlyn Kirksey
 - a. Please wash your hands.
 - b. OSU night at ODB is tomorrow. You can still sign up to volunteer. Please share on social media!
 - c. Our food insecurity director had a conversation with Swipe Out Hunger. We are looking to partnering with them, sexcited about it!
 - d. Candy dish is restocked! Come visit me
 - e. We are excited for the President and Vice President elect, but Kristen and I aren’t finished yet.
- VIII. **Vice President’s Report**- Kristen Ball
 - a. We are still rolling this semester!
 - b. We have a planning committee for the Mental Health committee!
 - c. We changed the dates for the week because of possibly not being here. We moved it back to April 6
 - d. Keep spreading the word about the food pantry.
 - e. Shout out to CEAT stucco, they will be having a food drive after spring break. Any little bit of food helps the students in need.
- IX. **Floor Speeches**
- X. **Unfinished Business**

- a. **S20BL011: A bill providing co-sponsorship funds to Kappa Delta Chi, Sorority Inc.**
 - i. Allen: Motion to pass bill by unanimous consent
 - ii. Salcedo: Second
 - iii. Motion to pass

XI. New Business

- a. Slagle: Motion to place S20BL008-S20BL024 on a special calendar with 3 minutes for author explanation and 5 minutes for questions following with a voice vote.
- b. Allen: Second
- c. Motion to pass
- d. **S20BL008: A bill providing co-sponsorship funds to African American Student Association.**
 - i. Passed.
- e. **S20BL017: A bill providing co-sponsorship funds to Theta Tau.**
 - i. Murphey: Did you say this bill is only open to students in STEM?
 - 1. Yes
 - ii. Salcedo: You are promoting to STEM students?
 - 1. Yes
 - iii. Passed.
- f. **S20BL021: A bill to change the status of The Almighty S from registered to recognize.**
 - i. Salcedo: How many members do you have?
 - 1. 35-40 that are registered
 - ii. Passed.
- g. **S20BL022: A bill to grant registered status to Doctors Without Borders.**
 - i. Passed.
- h. **S20BL023: A bill to grant recognized status to Lilacs.**
 - i. Passed.
- i. **S20BL024: A bill to grant registered status to the Sales Club.**
 - i. Salcedo: There are a lot of clubs with marketing, how are you planning to offer something new?
 - 1. Each meeting we will be offering different sales techniques. We had someone from PayCom skype in last meeting. This will be like a mentorship that differentiates ourselves.
 - ii. Murphey: Did your organization just go to sales competition?
 - 1. Yes, the sales club is off of the organization. We want to recruit into the sales club but also promote other opportunities of the other program.
 - iii. Passed.
- j. **S20BL019: A bill amending Title I; relieving IA committee of their reapportionment responsibility.**
 - i. Carmichael: Would you be in favor of a friendly amendment?
 - 1. Yes
 - ii. Roll Call Vote
 - 1. 35 For, 0 Against, 0 Abstentions
 - 2. Passed
- k. **S20BL020: A bill amending Title III to create a mock trial competition.**

- i. Murphey: Motion to table S20BL020 for a period of one week.
- ii. Schultz: Second
- iii. Motion to pass.

1. Bills to be heard pending committee approval

- i. S20RC003: A recommendation to change the name of Murray Hall
 - a. Carmichel: you have faith this will go to the board of regents madame president, will this reach the president?
 - i. Yes there are lot of hands that have been involved in the process so I have faith it will
 - b. Hutto: whats the process going forward with an unnamed building?
 - i. Not sure, we will let them figure that out
 - c. Fowler: Why was it contested?
 - i. At the time of statehood
 - d. Salcedo: On the plaque that is a part of the recommendation, will his good and his bad accomplishments be listed?
 - i. There is one there currently, but the rec allows there to be another if wanted.
 - ii. It will probably cost students something, but we aren't sure how much.
 - e. Curtis: Would the other be open to a friendly amendment?
 - i. Yes
 - f. Marchy: is there any other significant buildings or places on campus in Murray's name?
 - i. Not that we can think of.
 - g. Middleton: Obviously an unnamed building won't stay unnamed long, would this be a student funded renaming?
 - i. It is in the administration budget. Changing the name would cost about 1 million but the administration is willing to take that cost. It will have to have a name, but the cost will be cheaper so the initial figure is less.
 - h. Allen: Would you explain how this recommendation all came about, what were those published works about and how they further the recommendation?
 - i. Those are in the Edmond low, they detail his thoughts and feelings on different races and how he thought them unfit. These are not the only ones but they are the ones accessed to.
 - i. Jdiobe: Would you be open to a friendly amendment?
 - i. Yes
 - j. Kent: Would you be open to a friendly amendment?
 - i. Yes
 - k. Hutto: Is it the author's opinion that removing the name is only a positive impact?
 - i. Yes
 - l. Carmichael: Would you open to a friendly amendment?
 - i. Yes
 - m. Taggart: Would you be open to a friendly amendment?

- i. Yes
- n. Dethloff: Is the author aware that is passed through this Senate before in 2002 with majority of senate approval, passed again in 2007 by unanimous consent, and passed again in 2014 with 3 no votes, 2 abstentions and finally, passed in 2017 with only one no vote?
 - i. I didn't know the votes, but I did know it was on the floor and passed before.
- o. Murphey: Motion to recess for five minutes to evaluate all current outstanding amendments.
- p. Carmichael: Second
- q. Motion to pass
- r. Murphey: Motion to add my name to the top of the list by suspension of the rules.
- s. Curtis: Second
- t. Motion to pass.
- u. Murphey: Would you be open to a friendly amendment?
 - i. Yes
- v. Murphy: Would you be open to a friendly amendment?
 - i. Yes
- w. Dethloff: How confident are you that something will be done about this?
 - i. We believe it will go in front of the administration and they said it would. We are confident now because of how the recommendation is set up like the policy they want.
- x. Marchy: I understand the frustration, what our fear is that nothing will happen. How do we know on good faith that students will not have to pay?
- y. Carmichael: Motion to extend time for questions for 5 minutes.
- z. Salcedo: Second
- aa. Motion to pass
- bb. Back to Marchy question:
 - i. There won't be a separate line item cost so we go through the same process as we did for Ferguson, this is put in place to address the opportunity to change this. There's a long history of legislation that students want this change to happen and the confidence that the administration is wanting to push this through so the likelihood of this happening is high.
- cc. Middleton: You said it was from the administrative fund which partly comes from students, Ferguson family donated which is why their name is listed now?
 - i. Yes, but the gift wasn't for the name if that makes sense, it was for the program. It is still up to the administration to change the name.
- dd. Salcedo: What's the cost?
 - i. Not sure, it would be probably pretty high, but I'm not sure, it would be quite a bit.

ee. Carmichael: Would you be open to a friendly amendment?

i. Yes

ff. Bonham: We are taking the name off and the name will be set later?

i. Yes, we would recruit donors to fund the name.

gg. Debate

i. Proponency:

1. Dethloff- This issue has been brought before us multiple time. This funding has already been accounted for. Your fees will not increase, we already have funding mechanisms in place for changes such as this. Regardless of how you feel about passing judgement on people for how they acted during their time but are wrong during our time, that's not the issue in this. The issue is that the administration has heard this issue and done nothing which is in opposition of the diversity our campus and administration supports. But now they have given us a chance and we have the opportunity to make change here on this campus and change the lives of students.

2. Murphey: This bill has been passed 4 different times by this governing body in four different years. I remember when the 2017 bill was passed. Students care about this then and now. For the first time we have a president that will make sure this goes through and fight for this recommendation because she cares about what this recommendation does. She is prepared to take it to committees and even the president's office so that he knows how we feel about the buildings. We aren't here to argue that his actions were okay because they were accepted in his time, but we know it's not. It's not okay and we shouldn't wait for someone to pay. I will pay if need be, we shouldn't wait for a donor.

ii. Opponency:

1. Fowler: The issue is his action during his time period, even though it was acceptable during the time period that Murray lived. Many desegregation acts and events did not happen until after Murray's time. He was not the only one during his time with thoughts and ideas like this because it was acceptable during his time, even if it is not during our own.

2. Middleton: I'm not against changing the name of the building. I do not think it's a bad idea whatsoever, and I am in support of changing the name. What I'm not in support of is it being student funded. I believe there should be a gift in line prior to the name being

changed. The students I represent should not be having to fund a name change that can be funded by alumni. Alumni care about this just as much as we do. I understand we already have funds that are allocated to the capital fund, but I don't believe that should be used to change the name when we can get a gift to change it.

- iii. Roll Call Vote
- iv. 32 For, 1 Against, 0 Abstentions
- v. Passed.
- ii. S20RS008: A resolution to appoint members of the Senate Leadership Team.
 - a. Dethloff: Why would you say this is an executive position instead of Ad Hoc committee position?
 - i. We don't have executive positions in the legislative side. In terms of what we are working to accomplish with it, it will be best considering what might be coming, if we would have a social media page and her assisting me helps me a lot. It would be someone on the Senate side posting and communicating for the legislative side.
 - b. Salcedo: What media will be proposed to used?
 - i. We haven't hit the ground running with anything but O'Colly kit for them to have during the meeting, my role is also to share with the student body and have press releases when available.
 - c. Swearingen: Were other people considered for the parliamentary position?
 - i. Yes, being that Slagle has proven his ability, we felt he would be the best fit. We are talking about parliamentary procedure, which is formal in another organization, but there is a different approach to procedural strategy. Craig is good at parli pro.
 - d. Roll Call Vote:
 - i. 31 For, 0 Against, 2 Abstentions

XII. Recess for Legislation to be Forwarded to Committees

XIII. Executive Reports

a. Cabinet Executives

i. Communication Directors

- 1. Register to vote! Will be doing a social media report!

ii. Speakers Board

- 1. Had a great turn out and looking forward to events coming up.

iii. Chief of Staff

- 1. Working on pushing SGA videos, working on promoting different locations on campus, SGA knowledge that we want people to know about SGA so they are more aware of what we do.

XIV. Supreme Court Report(s)

XV. Senate Reports

- a. Committee Chairs
 - i. Budget – Ashley Schultz
 - 1. Meeting is cancelled, have a good spring break
 - ii. CSO – Logan Hutto
 - 1. Meeting tomorrow.
 - iii. Internal Affairs – Aaron Carmichael
 - 1. No meeting tomorrow, will be reaching out to you in the upcoming weeks.
 - iv. PAC – Noah Mead
 - 1. No meeting next week due to spring break.
 - 2. Stay safe, have a good break
 - v. University – Luke Muller
 - 1. I volunteered with Anna Hargis to help move. We will be filled with bills so please come ready to work because we have a lot to get done.
 - 2. Carmichael: Any preview of what bills we will see?
 - a. Parking around the Colvin, for more find me after.
- b. **Constituency Reports**
 - i. Dethloff: In a 4-hour meeting in RHA, they elected a president for RHA.
 - ii. Gibbs: CAS won the award for most involvement in Into the Streets, holding a diversity event on April 23, cool opportunity to see different cultures, 3-minute thesis could be cancelled or rescheduled.
 - iii. Murphey: OCSA passed a resolution for active student protocol so it's more support I can take to faculty council.
 - iv. Hutto: EHS will be having a new student council in upcoming weeks
- c. **Other Senate Reports**
 - i. Liaisons
 - ii. Representatives
 - 1. Board of Regents for A&M Colleges – Nathan Dethloff
 - a. They meet and changed statutes in which misconduct reports are handled and 17 new faculty appointed, approved the naming of the OSU flight center
 - 2. Board of Regents for Higher Education – Logan Hutto
 - a. No report
 - 3. Faculty Council – Ashley Schultz
 - a. During Chair's Report
- d. Vice Chair's Report – Noah Murphey
 - i. Stay safe during spring break, come back in one piece after break.
- e. Chair's Report – Marcus Heald
 - i. Looking like we will be doing online after spring break due to the coronavirus
 - ii. In our age group, the threat is not necessarily to you, the threat is more to younger kids and older people. We will be asked to serve more than likely so we will continue to do the work we are called to do. It will be finalized with Melisa. There will not mandatory meetings if we don't have class on campus. We will be doing a something to still meet online if we can't.
 - iii. Committee process looks like Budget and CSO won't be meeting. We will still be looking to have meetings with PAC and University, I don't want this to get in the

way of legislation. Please be diligent during the weeks after spring break to still be involved for SGA.

- iv. Gibbs: For office hours we will have to have the completed?
 - 1. Along with talks with how meetings will be held, all extracurriculars are cancelled, staff are still required to go to work, but we want to minimize the foot traffic so we will figure something out. As of right now, they are up in the air at this point.
- v. We are not asking you to put yourself in danger to commit to your office hours.
- vi. I still want to meet with the freshman
- vii. If office hours are scrapped for the month, there will not be any competition.

XVI. Announcements

- a. Slagle: Tulsa Tech has a student exposed to the virus, they have been made aware and they are under testing. Wash your hands.
- b. Hutto: Anderson wanted to say thank you to all that helped with polling stations
- c. Schultz: OSGA at the capitol, passed the active shooter training protocol with unanimous consent, if you want to write legislation, let us know.
- d. Allen: Please take care of yourself and take a break when needed.
- e. Hutto: My bill with Lead Learn Live, their meeting with the provost was this morning and they have a go on it. Once funding is received, it will be started and up and running.

XVII. Informal Discussion

XVIII. Adjournment

This agenda shall not be construed as preventing: The introduction of new legislation not listed, the reconsideration of any motion of the immediately preceding Senate meeting, or any regular procedural motion or any motions by an appropriate majority which allows the introduction and final consideration of Legislation in the same meeting so long as that legislation is listed in this agenda. Posted at 7:00pm on March 24, 2020 by Marcus Heald, Student Body Senate Chair

(sgasenate@okstate.edu) 405-744-7555

Last Name	First Name	Attendance	
Gin	Ashley	absent	0
Hoelting	Daniel	present	1
Milton	Alex	present	1
Dethloff	Nathan	present	1
0	0		0
Koenig	Kirsten	present	1
Slagle	Craig	present	1
Marchy	Robert	present	1
0	0		0
0	0		0
Murphey	Noah	present	1
Carmichael	Aaron	present	1
Jdiobe	Muwanika	present	1
Mead	Noah	present	1
Allen	Garrison	present	1
Harrison	Rustin	present	1
Swearingen	Cody	present	1
0	0		0
Fowler	Evan	present	1
Middleton	Trevor	present	1
Salcedo	David	present	1
Saraswat	Mohit	absent	0
Curtis	Riley	present	1
0	0		0
0	0		0
Schultz	Ashley	present	1
Gibbs	Trent	present	1
Kent	Eliza	present	1
Martin	Kristen	present	1
Dennis	Isaac	present	1
Edwards	Jack	present	1
Ochsner	Audrey	present	1
Muller	Luke	present	1
Bonham	Rio	present	1
Dowers	Kylie	present	1
Reichenberger	Jaci	present	1
Stewart	Susanna	absent	0
	0	0	0
	0	0	0
Hutto	Logan	present	1
Anderson	Craig	proxy	1
Slater	Rachel	present	1
Burks	Elizabeth	present	1
0	0		0

Current
Simple M
Two Third
Two Thirds

0	0		0
Norgaard	Aaron	present	1
Taggart	Jace	present	1
Kincannon	Zac	present	1
Evens	George	absent	0
Young	Jack	absent	0
			35

Meeting Information	
Majority	19
2/3 Majority	25
2/3 of Senate	15

Last Name	First Name	Vote	For	Against	Abstentions		
Hoelting	Daniel	y		1	0	0	1
Hoelting	Daniel			0	0	0	0
Milton	Alex	y		1	0	0	1
Dethloff	Nathan	y		1	0	0	1
Koenig	Kirsten	y		1	0	0	1
Koenig	Kirsten			0	0	0	0
Slagle	Craig	y		1	0	0	1
Marchy	Robert	y		1	0	0	1
Murphey	Noah	y		1	0	0	1
Murphey	Noah			0	0	0	0
Murphey	Noah			0	0	0	0
Carmichael	Aaron	y		1	0	0	1
Jdiobe	Muwanika	y		1	0	0	1
Mead	Noah	y		1	0	0	1
Allen	Garrison	y		1	0	0	1
Harrison	Rustin	y		1	0	0	1
Swearingen	Cody	y		1	0	0	1
Fowler	Evan	y		1	0	0	1
Fowler	Evan			0	0	0	0
Middleton	Trevor	y		1	0	0	1
Salcedo	David	y		1	0	0	1
Curtis	Riley	y		1	0	0	1
Curtis	Riley			0	0	0	0
Schultz	Ashley	y		1	0	0	1
Schultz	Ashley			0	0	0	0
Schultz	Ashley			0	0	0	0
Gibbs	Trent	y		1	0	0	1
Kent	Eliza	y		1	0	0	1
Martin	Kristen	y		1	0	0	1
Dennis	Isaac	y		1	0	0	1
Edwards	Jack	y		1	0	0	1
Ochsner	Audrey	y		1	0	0	1
Muller	Luke	y		1	0	0	1
Bonham	Rio	y		1	0	0	1
Dowers	Kylie	y		1	0	0	1
Reichenberg	Jaci	y		1	0	0	1
Hutto	Logan	y		1	0	0	1
Hutto	Logan			0	0	0	0
Hutto	Logan			0	0	0	0
Hutto	Logan			0	0	0	0
Anderson	Craig	y		1	0	0	1
Slater	Rachel	y		1	0	0	1
Burks	Elizabeth	y		1	0	0	1

Norgaard	Aaron		y	1	0	0	1
Norgaard	Aaron			0	0	0	0
Norgaard	Aaron			0	0	0	0
Taggart	Jace		y	1	0	0	1
Kincannon	Zac		y	1	0	0	1
	0	0		0	0	0	0
	0	0		0	0	0	0
				35	0	0	

If Majority

PASSED

If Two Thirds

PASSED

Current Meeting Information	
Simple Majority	19
Two Thirds Majority	25
Two Thirds of Senate	28

Current Vote Information	
Votes Left For Majority	-16
Votes Left for Two Thirds	-10
For	35
Against	0
Abstentions	0

Last Name	First Name	Vote	For	Against	Abstentions		
Hoelting	Daniel	y		1	0	0	1
Hoelting	Daniel			0	0	0	0
Milton	Alex	y		1	0	0	1
Dethloff	Nathan	y		1	0	0	1
Koenig	Kirsten	y		1	0	0	1
Koenig	Kirsten			0	0	0	0
Slagle	Craig	y		1	0	0	1
Marchy	Robert	y		1	0	0	1
Murphey	Noah	y		1	0	0	1
Murphey	Noah			0	0	0	0
Murphey	Noah			0	0	0	0
Carmichael	Aaron	y		1	0	0	1
Jdiobe	Muwanika	y		1	0	0	1
Mead	Noah	y		1	0	0	1
Allen	Garrison	y		1	0	0	1
Harrison	Rustin	y		1	0	0	1
Swearingen	Cody	y		1	0	0	1
Fowler	Evan	n		0	1	0	1
Fowler	Evan			0	0	0	0
Middleton	Trevor	y		1	0	0	1
Salcedo	David	y		1	0	0	1
Curtis	Riley	y		1	0	0	1
Curtis	Riley			0	0	0	0
Schultz	Ashley	y		1	0	0	1
Schultz	Ashley			0	0	0	0
Schultz	Ashley			0	0	0	0
Gibbs	Trent	y		1	0	0	1
Kent	Eliza	y		1	0	0	1
Martin	Kristen	y		1	0	0	1
Dennis	Isaac	y		1	0	0	1
Edwards	Jack	y		1	0	0	1
Ochsner	Audrey	y		1	0	0	1
Muller	Luke	y		1	0	0	1
Bonham	Rio	y		1	0	0	1
Dowers	Kylie	y		1	0	0	1
Reichenberg	Jaci	y		1	0	0	1
Hutto	Logan	y		1	0	0	1
Hutto	Logan			0	0	0	0
Hutto	Logan			0	0	0	0
Hutto	Logan			0	0	0	0
Anderson	Craig	y		1	0	0	1
Slater	Rachel	y		1	0	0	1
Burks	Elizabeth			0	0	0	0

Norgaard	Aaron		y	1	0	0	1
Norgaard	Aaron			0	0	0	0
Norgaard	Aaron			0	0	0	0
Taggart	Jace		y	1	0	0	1
Kincannon	Zac			0	0	0	0
	0	0		0	0	0	0
	0	0		0	0	0	0
				32	1	0	

If Majority

PASSED

If Two Thirds

PASSED

Current Meeting Information	
Simple Majority	19
Two Thirds Majority	25
Two Thirds of Senate	28

Current Vote Information	
Votes Left For Majority	-13
Votes Left for Two Thirds	-7
For	32
Against	1
Abstentions	0

Last Name	First Name	Vote	For	Against	Abstentions		
Hoelting	Daniel	y		1	0	0	1
Hoelting	Daniel			0	0	0	0
Milton	Alex	y		1	0	0	1
Dethloff	Nathan	a		0	0	1	1
Koenig	Kirsten	y		1	0	0	1
Koenig	Kirsten			0	0	0	0
Slagle	Craig	y		1	0	0	1
Marchy	Robert	3		0	0	0	0
Murphey	Noah	y		1	0	0	1
Murphey	Noah			0	0	0	0
Murphey	Noah			0	0	0	0
Carmichael	Aaron	y		1	0	0	1
Jdiobe	Muwanika	y		1	0	0	1
Mead	Noah	y		1	0	0	1
Allen	Garrison	y		1	0	0	1
Harrison	Rustin	y		1	0	0	1
Swearingen	Cody	a		0	0	1	1
Fowler	Evan	y		1	0	0	1
Fowler	Evan			0	0	0	0
Middleton	Trevor	y		1	0	0	1
Salcedo	David	y		1	0	0	1
Curtis	Riley	y		1	0	0	1
Curtis	Riley			0	0	0	0
Schultz	Ashley	y		1	0	0	1
Schultz	Ashley			0	0	0	0
Schultz	Ashley			0	0	0	0
Gibbs	Trent	y		1	0	0	1
Kent	Eliza	y		1	0	0	1
Martin	Kristen	y		1	0	0	1
Dennis	Isaac	y		1	0	0	1
Edwards	Jack	y		1	0	0	1
Ochsner	Audrey	y		1	0	0	1
Muller	Luke	y		1	0	0	1
Bonham	Rio	y		1	0	0	1
Dowers	Kylie	y		1	0	0	1
Reichenberg	Jaci	y		1	0	0	1
Hutto	Logan	y		1	0	0	1
Hutto	Logan			0	0	0	0
Hutto	Logan			0	0	0	0
Hutto	Logan			0	0	0	0
Anderson	Craig	y		1	0	0	1
Slater	Rachel	y		1	0	0	1
Burks	Elizabeth			0	0	0	0

Norgaard	Aaron		y	1	0	0	1
Norgaard	Aaron			0	0	0	0
Norgaard	Aaron			0	0	0	0
Taggart	Jace		y	1	0	0	1
Kincannon	Zac			0	0	0	0
	0	0		0	0	0	0
	0	0		0	0	0	0
				30	0	2	

If Majority

PASSED

If Two Thirds

PASSED

Current Meeting Information	
Simple Majority	19
Two Thirds Majority	25
Two Thirds of Senate	28

Current Vote Information	
Votes Left For Majority	-11
Votes Left for Two Thirds	-5
For	30
Against	0
Abstentions	2

